

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,512	11/05/2003	Pushpito Kumar Ghosh	3095-009	5938	
7590 05/01/2007 LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 300			menon, Krishnan s		
1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

		0 V.
Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
10/700,512	GHOSH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Krishnan S. Menon	1723	

	Krishnan S. Menon	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beloappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 43-55 and 72. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 56-71 and 73. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit are the evidence is not send to be a sufficient for a file of the evidence in a file of the evidence is not send to be a file of the evidence in a file of the evidence is not send to be a file of the evidence in a file of the evidence is not send to be a file of the evidence in a file of the evidence is not send to be a file of the evidence in a file of the evidence is not send to be a file of the evidence in a fil	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
		Krishnan S Menon Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1723

Art Unit: 1723

Advisory Action

Amendment will not be entered because the newly added limitation "an container" in

claim 1 adds a new issue – a new container other than the solution container, and it

does not overcome the 112, second paragraph, rejection. The "extract container" is still

present in the claim. Connected tp the pressure pump also raises new issue.

Arguments are not persuasive. The various parts in the system such as the thin film

composite membrane, solenoid valves, pressure pumps, are explicitly or implicitely

taught by the references, Use of compressed air to flush the systems is known in the

art, but the argument is not commensurate with the claims - at least claim 43 does not

recite a compressor. Argument about the Gobel reference is also not gcommensurate

with the rejection – it is used only to show the state of the art in which concentrate

recirculation is known.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-

272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,512

Art Unit: 1723

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krishnan S Menon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723